1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6 7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE
8	GREGORY A. O'NEAL,
9	Plaintiff,) Case No. MS10-151-MJP-BAT
10	v.) REPORT AND DECOMMENDATION
11	TURNS EAST, RECOMMENDATION)
12	Defendant.
13	The instant case appears to arise from a discovery dispute related to an action pending in the
14	United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas. See Dkt. 1. On October 12,
15	2010, plaintiff filed a Proposed Motion for Warrant of Arrest due to defendant's failure to
16	provide plaintiff with requested documents. Dkt. 1. The instant case was referred to the
17	Honorable Brian A. Tsuchida, United States Magistrate Judge, for determination of plaintiff's
18	qualification to proceed before the Court in forma pauperis (IFP). Plaintiff filed his first IFP
19	application on November 1, 2010. Dkt. 4. Due to the somewhat unusual information contained
20	in plaintiff's IFP application, the Court issued a Minute Order on November 4, 2010, directing
21	plaintiff to submit a detailed affidavit under penalty of perjury regarding his assets and recent

employment history and to file a Written Consent for Payment of Costs form in accordance with

Local CR 3(b)(2). Dkt. 5. In response, plaintiff filed another IFP application and a document he

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION - 1

22

23

1	entitled Written Consent for Payment of Costs. Dkt. 6. In a Minute Order dated November 9,
2	2010, the Court informed plaintiff that the documents submitted were insufficient and granted
3	plaintiff leave to amend his IFP application and directing plaintiff to file a proper Written
4	Consent for Payment of Costs by November 22, 2010. Dkt. 7. Plaintiff filed a third deficient
5	IFP application on November 9, 2010. Dkt. 8. Plaintiff failed to comply with the Minute Orders
6	issued by the Court (Dkts. 5, 7) and failed to file a Written Consent for Payment of Costs form in
7	compliance with Local CR 3(b)(2). The Court thus recommends that plaintiff's IFP application
8	be DENIED . The Court further recommends that plaintiff's action be DISMISSED because he
9	has not paid the \$350 filing fee.
10	Any objections to this Recommendation must be filed no later than December 13, 2010 .
11	The Report and Recommendation is not an appealable order. Do not file a notice of appeal until
12	the District Judge enters judgment in this case. A proposed order accompanies this Report and
13	Recommendation.
14	DATED this 29th day of November, 2010.
15	
16	
17	187
18	BRIAN A. TSUCHIDA United States Magistrate Judge
19	
20	
21	
22	

23